

# HPLC Method Limited Verification for TGF-001 (non-GMP)

Project	Pyronaridine_INV-054926
Compound	TGF-001
Purpose	Method Verification
Category	Methods
Substance Type	Drug Substance
Report ID	INV_054926_HPLC_V4 Version 1.0

# **Authors**

Cai Alain (Alain)

# Approvers

-	DocuSigned by: Almin Cri	<b>T</b> '4	AD Manager		1/21/2024
By:	9DCF20AFEA87456	l itle:		Date:	
By:	DocuSigned by: Q: 55362BFE31B84BD	Title:	Head of Technical	Developn Date: _	1/21/2024 ment

# Distribution

Zhou Irvin, Pyronaridine (INV-054926) project team, Approvers



# Table of contents

	0	
Summ	3	
Results	5	6
<ol> <li>3.1.</li> <li>3.2.</li> <li>3.3.</li> <li>3.4.</li> <li>3.5.</li> <li>3.6.</li> <li>3.7.</li> </ol>	Autosampler stability Specificity/ selectivity LOD/ LOQ Linearity of TGF-001 Linearity of DIA and DIN (RRF determination) Accuracy of TGF-001 Repeatability	6 7 9 13 15 17 18
Experii	mental	19
4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.3.1. 4.3.2. 4.3.3	Equipment Equipment and reagents Solutions Diluent Mobile phase Solutions	19 19 19 19 20 20
	3.1. 3.2. 3.3. 3.4. 3.5. 3.6. 3.7. Experin 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.3.1. 4.3.2. 4.3.3.	<ul> <li>3.1. Autosampler stability</li> <li>3.2. Specificity/ selectivity</li> <li>3.3. LOD/ LOQ</li> <li>3.4. Linearity of TGF-001</li> <li>3.5. Linearity of DIA and DIN (RRF determination)</li> <li>3.6. Accuracy of TGF-001</li> <li>3.7. Repeatability</li> <li>Experimental</li> <li>4.1. Equipment</li> <li>4.2. Equipment and reagents</li> <li>4.3. Solutions</li> <li>4.3.1. Diluent</li> <li>4.3.2. Mobile phase</li> <li>4.3.3. Solutions</li> </ul>

# 1. Objective

TGF-001 is analyzed by the newly developed HPLC method 'INV\_054926\_HPLC\_M4' using a reversed phase C18 column, e.g.: Waters X-Bridge column. In this document, the limited verification of the new HPLC method shall be performed at non-GMP level.

# Related reports:

INV\_054926\_HPLC\_M4: HPLC Method Description for In-process control, Identity, Assay and Related Substances of TGF-001



TGF-001

# 2. Summary and conclusion

The method verification has been performed at non-GMP level. The following analytical parameters have been assessed:

- Autosampler stability
- Specificity/ selectivity
- LOD
- LOQ
- Linearity of TGF-001
- Linearity of DIA and DIN (RRF determination)
- Accuracy of TGF-001
- Repeatability

The peak purity showed that unknown peaks at RRT 0.85/ 0.87 should be coeluted peaks. The obtained results are summarized in Table 1.

Analytical Development



Table 1non-GMP verification of the new developed HPLC method for TGF-001

Parameter	Acceptance criteria	Results	Conformity	Remark
Autosampler stability	For TGF-001:	Stable at least 24 hours for assay	Pass	See section 3.1
	$\%\Delta \le 2\%$			
		Sample needs freshly prepared		
	For impurities (report all $\geq$ LOQ):	for impurity quantification		
	between LOQ and $\leq 0.1\%$ : $\%\Delta \leq 40\%$ ,			
	> 0.1% and $\leq$ 0.5%: % $\Delta \leq$ 20%,			
	> 0.5%: %∆ ≤ 15%			
	Report limit time for autosampler stability			
Specificity/ selectivity	No interference between the blank peaks	No interference peaks in blanks.	Pass	Unknown peaks at RRT 0.85/
	and the components of interest in blanks.			0.87 should be coeluted peaks.
	All impurity peaks should be separated	All impurity peaks are separated		See section 3.2
	with TGF-001 peak	with TGF-001 peak.	_	
LOD	S/N (0.03% TGF-001) ≥ 3	S/N (0.03% TGF-001) = 38.2	Pass	See section 3.3
	S/N (0.03% DIA) ≥ 3	S/N (0.03% DIA) = 37.6		
	S/N (0.03% DIN) ≥ 3	S/N (0.03% DIN) = 60.4		
LOQ	S/N (0.05% TGF-001) ≥ 10	S/N (0.05% TGF-001) = 60.5	Pass	See section 3.3
	S/N (0.05% DIA) ≥ 10	S/N (0.05% DIA) = 73.0		
	S/N (0.05% DIN) ≥ 10	S/N (0.05% DIN) = 83.2		
	$\%$ RSD (0.05% IGF-001 peak area) $\leq 30\%$	%RSD (0.05% IGF-001) = 1.3%		
	%RSD (0.05% DIA peak area) ≤ 30 %	%RSD (0.05% DIA) = 0.9%		
	%RSD (0.05% DIN peak area) ≤ 30 %	%RSD (0.05% DIN) = 0.2%	5	
Linearity of IGF-001	a) LOQ to 140%:	LOQ to 140%:	Pass	See section 3.4
	$R^2 \ge 0.995$ ,	$R^2 = 0.9999$		
	y intercept < 5% of the nominal	y intercept = $0.37\%$		
	Concentration,	slope = 52689		
	Report slope, snow figure.			
	Bonort P <sup>2</sup> wintercont clone figure for	$P_{2} = 0.0000$		
		$K^{-} = 0.7770$		
	c) 50% to 140%	y = 0.00%		
	C	Siche - 212/0		
		50% to 140%		
		00/010110/0	1	

#### Analytical Development



		D2 0.0000		
		$R^2 = 0.9998$		
		y intercept = $2.19\%$		
		Slope = 53579		
Linearity of DIA and	LOQ to 0.5%:	DIA:	Pass	See section 3.5
	$R^2 > 0.995$	$R^2 = 0.9995$		
determination	R = 0.770, Report dopo, show figuro	$S_{1000} = 45279$		
determination)	Caladata BBE value.	3000 = 43377		
		RRF = 1.16		
		DIN:		
		$R^2 = 0.9993$		
		Slope = 58071		
		RRF = 0.91	_	
Accuracy of TGF-001	%RSD $\leq$ 2% for each concentration level	%RSD (80%) = 0.6%	Pass	See section 3.6
		%RSD (100%) = 1.7%		
	Single recovery: 95% - 105%	%RSD (120%) = 2.0%		
	Mean recovery for each concentration	Recovery (80% prep. 1) = 99%		
	level: 98% - 102%	Recovery (80% prep 2) = 99%		
	(Pecovery is calculated as determined	Recovery ( $80\%$ prep. 3) = $98\%$		
		Recovery $(00\% \text{ prep}, 3) = 70\%$		
	concentration vs. nominal concentration)	Recovery $(100\% \text{ prep. 1}) = 100\%$		
		Recovery (100% prep. 2) = 100%		
		Recovery (100% prep. 3) = 97%		
		Recovery (120% prep. 1) = 101%		
		Recovery (120% prep. 2) = 98%		
		Recovery (120% prep 3) = 98%		
		Mean recovery (80%) = 98%		
		Mean recovery $(100\%) = 99\%$		
		Mean recovery $(120\%) = 99\%$		
Development and all the s			Davas	
Repeatability	For IGF-001:	%RSD (100% IGF-001) = 0.1%	Pass	See section 3.7
	%RSD (100% IGF-001, n=6) ≤ 2%			
		%RSD (0.14% DIA in 100% TGF-		
	For DIA:	001) = 0.6%		
	%RSD (0.14% DIA, n=6) ≤ 15%			
		%RSD (0.14% DIN in 100% TGF-		
	For DIN:	(0.11) = 0.07		
		001 - 0.7		
	%K3D (U.14% DIN, N=6) ≤ 15%			

Analytical Development



# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Autosampler stability

Autosampler stability was performed using TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF.

The sample solution was found to be stable at least 24 hours for assay purpose and instable for unknown impurity at RRT 0.82/ 0.85 which means

fresh sample preparation is needed for impurity quantification.

Tin	ne	0h	1h	1	2h	1	3h	1	4h	1	6h	I	9h	i	12	n	18	h	24	1	Limit
RT, min	RRT	area%	area%	%∆	area%	%∆	area%	%Δ	area%	%∆	%Δ										
9.81	0.82	0.19%	0.24%	26%	0.26%	37%	0.28%	47%	0.29%	53%	0.31%	63%	0.32%	68%	0.33%	74%	0.34%	79%	0.35%	84%	≤ 20%
10.17	0.85	0.17%	0.15%	12%	0.14%	18%	0.13%	24%	0.13%	24%	0.12%	29%	0.12%	29%	0.11%	35%	0.12%	29%	0.11%	35%	≤ 20%
10.40	0.87	0.05%	0.05%	0%	0.04%	20%	0.04%	20%	0.04%	20%	0.04%	20%	0.04%	20%	0.03%	40%	0.03%	40%	0.03%	40%	≤ 40%
10.96	0.91	0.04%	0.04%	0%	0.04%	0%	0.04%	0%	0.04%	0%	0.04%	0%	0.05%	25%	0.05%	25%	0.05%	25%	0.05%	25%	-
11.24	0.94	0.05%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	≤ 40%
11.33	0.95	0.05%	0.06%	20%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	0.05%	0%	≤ 40%
11.99	1.00	98.25%	98.19%	0%	98.20%	0%	98.19%	0%	98.17%	0%	98.18%	0%	98.16%	0%	98.16%	0%	98.14%	0%	98.15%	0%	≤ 2%
12.80	1.07	0.34%	0.35%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.33%	3%	0.32%	6%	≤ 20%
16.77	1.40	0.08%	0.07%	13%	0.08%	0%	0.08%	0%	0.08%	0%	0.08%	0%	0.08%	0%	0.08%	0%	0.08%	0%	0.09%	13%	≤ 40%
23.46	1.96	0.09%	0.09%	0%	0.09%	0%	0.09%	0%	0.09%	0%	0.09%	0%	0.09%	0%	0.09%	0%	0.10%	11%	0.10%	11%	≤ 40%
24.83	2.07	0.52%	0.52%	0%	0.52%	0%	0.51%	2%	0.52%	0%	0.52%	0%	0.51%	2%	0.52%	0%	0.52%	0%	0.52%	0%	≤ 15%
29.92	2.50	0.20%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	0.20%	0%	≤ 20%

 $\%\Delta = (\%area t = n - \%area t = 0) / \%area t = 0 * 100\%$ 



# 3.2. Specificity/ selectivity

Specificity/ selectivity was performed using TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF and TGF-001 spiked with impurity DIA or DIN.

There is no interference between the blank peaks and the components of interest. All impurity peaks are separated with TGF-001 peak. The peak purity showed that unknown peaks at RRT 0.85/ 0.87 should be coeluted peaks.

TGF-001, b	batch		100144-200502-REF			
Name	RT, min	RRT	Area	% Area	peak purity	
	9.806	0.82	16.1742	0.19%	995.4	
	10.166	0.85	14.0179	0.17%	844.8	
	10.404	0.87	4.5063	0.05%	618.1	
	10.962	0.91	2.9933	0.04%	922.1	
	11.240	0.94	3.9864	0.05%	988.4	
	11.333	0.95	4.3823	0.05%	973.4	
TGF-001	11.988	1.00	8336.9955	98.25%	1000.0	
	12.803	1.07	28.4520	0.34%	997.0	
	16.768	1.40	6.5751	0.08%	961.9	
	23.464	1.96	7.4326	0.09%	989.1	
	24.830	2.07	43.7429	0.52%	999.5	
	29.915	2.50	16.5870	0.20%	999.3	

Table 3Results for specificity/ selectivity of TGF-001

Figure 1 Example HPLC chromatogram of blank, 278 nm





# Figure 2 Example HPLC chromatogram of TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF, 278 nm





Figure 4 Example HPLC chromatogram of TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF spiked with impurity DIN batch PHTRACKD-389-REF, 278 nm



Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou International Inter

# 3.3. LOD/ LOQ

LOD and LOQ were performed using TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF, DIA batch PHTRACKD-394-REF and DIN batch PHTRACKD-389-REF.

The signal to noise of TGF-001 peak is calculated to be 38.2 in LOD solution (0.03%) and 60.5 in LOQ solution (0.05%) respectively. The %RSD of TGF-001 peak area in LOQ solutions (n=3) is found to be 1.3%.

The signal to noise of DIA peak is calculated to be 37.6 in LOD solution (0.03%) and 73.0 in LOQ solution (0.05%) respectively. The %RSD of DIA peak area in LOQ solutions (n=3) is found to be 0.9%. The signal to noise of DIN peak is calculated to be 60.4 in LOD solution (0.03%) and 83.2 in LOQ solution (0.05%) respectively. The %RSD of DIN peak area in LOQ solutions (n=3) is found to be 0.2%. All results meet the acceptance criteria.

Poteron colution 2	Area counts [pA]						
Reference solution 2	0.05% TGF-001	0.05% DIA	0.05% DIN				
Inj. 1	2.8677	2.3974	4.6605				
Inj. 2	2.8049	2.3868	4.6678				
Inj. 3	2.8068	2.4287	4.6801				
Average	2.8265	2.4043	4.6695				
STDEV	0.0357	0.0218	0.0099				
%RSD	1.3%	0.9%	0.2%				
Acceptance criteria		≤ 30%					

Table 4%RSD results for 3 injections of 0.05% solution

**Figure 5** Example Chromatogram of signal to noise calculation for 0.03% TGF-001 solution (LOD).



Signal:	DAD1A,S	ig=278,4 Ref	=off			
RT [min] T	ype W	idth [min]	Area	Height	Area% Name	Peak Signal To Noise
12.686 M	1M m	0.3773	1.5783	0.1995	100.00 TGF-001	38.150 09

Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou International Inter





Signal:	DAD1	A,Sig=278,4 Ref=	off			
RT [min]	Туре	Width [min]	Area	Height	Area% Name	Peak Signal To Noise
12.598	MM m	0.3746	2.8677	0.3789	100.00 TGF-001	60.546 25





Signal:						
RT [min] T	уре	Width [min]	Area	Height	Area% Name	Peak Signal To Noise
10.544 N	/IM m	0.4546	1.4910	0.1968	100.00	37.566 24

Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou Internat







Figure 9 Example Chromatogram of signal to noise calculation for 0.03% DIN solution (LOD). DAD1A,Sig=278,4 Ref=off



Signal:	DAD1/	A,Sig=278,4 Ref=	off			
RT [min] 1	Туре	Width [min]	Area	Height	Area% Name	Peak Signal To Noise
25.438	MM m	0.4408	2.5680	0.2710	100.00	60.431 99

Figure 10

Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou

Example Chromatogram of signal to noise calculation for 0.05% DIN solution (LOQ).





Signal:	DAD1A,Si	g=278,4 Ref=off				
RT [min] T	ype Wi	dth [min]	Area	Height	Area% Name	Peak Signal To Noise
25.376 N	/IM m	0.4515	4.6605	0.4860	100.00	83.166 14

# PHT Analytics

# 3.4. Linearity of TGF-001

Linearity was performed using TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF.

The correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) was found to be 0.9999 for the range from LOQ to 140%, 0.9998 for the range from LOQ to 5% and 0.9998 for the range from 50% to 140%. The y intercept and slope are reported in Table 5. All results meet the acceptance criteria.

ltems	Result	Acceptance criteria		
LOQ to 140%				
R <sup>2</sup>	0.9999	≥ 0.995		
y intercept	0.37%	≤ 5% of the nominal concentration		
Slope	52689	report		
LOQ to 5%				
R <sup>2</sup>	0.9998	report		
y intercept	0.05%	report		
Slope	51576	report		
50% to 140%				
R <sup>2</sup>	0.9998	report		
y intercept	2.19%	report		
Slope	53579	report		

 Table 6
 Concentration and area counts of linearity for TGF-001

% of the conc.	Conc. (mg/mL)	Area counts [pA]
0.05%	0.00008	2.879
0.1%	0.00017	5.636
0.5%	0.00083	34.856
5%	0.00829	423.865
20%	0.03315	1682.543
50%	0.08288	4294.905
80%	0.13261	6852.764
100%	0.16576	8660.748
120%	0.19891	10518.338
140%	0.23207	12242.546

Figure 11 Linearity of TGF-001 from LOQ to 140%



Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou Internet State State





Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou International Inter

# 3.5. Linearity of DIA and DIN (RRF determination)

Linearity was performed using DIA batch PHTRACKD-394-REF and DIN batch PHTRACKD-389-REF. The correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) was found to be 0.9995 for DIA from LOQ to 0.5% and 0.9993 for DIN from LOQ to 0.5%. All results meet the acceptance criteria.

The Relative Response Factor of DIA relative to TGF-001 was calculated to be '1.16' based on the slope of linearity and could be defined as '1.00'.

The Relative Response Factor of DIN relative to TGF-001 was calculated to be '0.91' based on the slope of linearity and could be defined as '**1.00**'.

Items	Result	Acceptance criteria	
DIA			
R <sup>2</sup>	0.9995	≥ 0.995	
Slope	45379	report	
RRF [1]	1.16	report	
DIN			
R <sup>2</sup>	0.9993	≥ 0.995	
Slope	58071	report	
RRF <sup>[1]</sup>	0.91	report	

#### Table 7 Results for linearity of DIA and DIN

<sup>[1]</sup> RRF = slope main compound / slope impurity

Table 8	Concentration	and area	counts of linearity	y for DIA and DIN
				/

97 of the cone		Area counts [AU*min]		
% of the conc.	Conc. (mg/mL)	DIA	DIN	
0.05%	0.00008	2.3974	4.6605	
0.08%	0.00014	4.1521	7.7411	
0.1%	0.00017	5.6190	9.3238	
0.14%	0.00024	8.5311	13.0105	
0.17%	0.00029	10.7812	15.5249	
0.3%	0.00051	21.1512	29.4748	
0.5%	0.00085	36.6701	49.0316	







# 3.6. Accuracy of TGF-001

Accuracy was performed using TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF, DIA batch PHTRACKD-394-REF and DIN batch PHTRACKD-389-REF.

The %RSD of TGF-001 peak was determined to be 0.6% at 80% concentration level, 0.2% at 100% concentration level and 1.8% at 120% concentration level. The signal recovery and mean recovery for each concentration level are reported in Table 9, and all results meet the acceptance criteria.

Table 9	Results fo	or accuracy	of TGF-001
	100001010	n accoracy	

%RSD for each concentration level	Result	Acceptance criteria
%RSD (80%)	0.6%	≤ 2%
%RSD (100%)	1.7%	≤ 2%
%RSD (120%)	2.0%	≤ 2%
Single recovery	Result	Acceptance criteria
Recovery (80% prep.1)	99%	95% - 105%
Recovery (80% prep.2)	99%	95% - 105%
Recovery (80% prep.3)	98%	95% - 105%
Recovery (100% prep.1)	100%	95% - 105%
Recovery (100% prep.2)	100%	95% - 105%
Recovery (100% prep.3)	97%	95% - 105%
Recovery (120% prep.1)	101%	95% - 105%
Recovery (120% prep.2)	98%	95% - 105%
Recovery (120% prep.3)	98%	95% - 105%
Mean recovery for each concentration level	Result	Acceptance criteria
Recovery (80%)	98%	98% - 102%
Recovery (100%)	99%	98% - 102%
Recovery (120%)	99%	98% - 102%

 Table 10
 Calculations for accuracy of TGF-001

TGF-001	Weigh in [mg]	Area counts [AU*min]	Response Factor (RF)	Recovery
80% prep.1	11.99	6852.7640	28577.0	<b>99</b> %
80% prep.2	11.96	6821.5891	28518.3	<b>99</b> %
80% prep.3	11.86	6701.9588	28254.5	<b>98</b> %
Average	-	-	28449.9	<b>98</b> %
STDEV	-	-	171.8	-
%RSD	-	-	0.60%	-
100% prep.1	11.99	8660.7480	28893.2	100%
100% prep.2	11.96	8668.1695	28990.5	100%
100% prep.3	11.86	8335.2958	28112.3	<b>97%</b>
Average	-	-	28570.9	<b>99</b> %
STDEV	-	-	481.4	-
%RSD	-	-	1.68%	-
120% prep.1	11.99	10518.3380	29242.0	101%
120% prep.2	11.96	10176.5424	28362.7	<b>98</b> %
120% prep.3	11.86	10029.2460	28187.9	<b>98</b> %
Average	-	-	28597.5	<b>99</b> %
STDEV	-	-	564.9	-
%RSD	-	-	1.98%	-
100% 6 injections (average)	11.99	8663.1630	28901.2944	-

Analytical DevelopmentINV\_054926\_HPLC\_V4 Version Error! Reference source not fou Internation

# 3.7. Repeatability

Repeatability was performed using TGF-001 batch 100144-200502-REF, DIA batch PHTRACKD-394-REF and DIN batch PHTRACKD-389-REF.

The results showed that the %RSD of peak area counts is 0.1% for TGF-001, 0.6% for DIA and 0.9% for DIN. All results meet the acceptance criteria.

100% TGF-001	Area of TGF- 001, pA	0.14% DIA in 100% TGF-001	Area of DIA, pA	0.14% DIN in 100% TGF-001	Area of DIN, pA
Inj. 1	8673.119	Inj. 1	13.987	Inj. 1	15.752
Inj. 2	8663.983	Inj. 2	14.085	Inj. 2	15.860
Inj. 3	8665.920	Inj. 3	13.953	Inj. 3	15.506
Inj. 4	8657.123	Inj. 4	14.122	Inj. 4	15.830
Inj. 5	8664.013	Inj. 5	13.972	Inj. 5	15.853
Inj. 6	8654.820	Inj. 6	14.131	Inj. 6	15.802
AVG	8663.1630	AVG	14.042	AVG	15.767
STDV	6.5453	STDV	0.0801	STDV	0.1337
RSD%	0.08%	RSD%	0.57%	RSD%	0.85%
Acceptance criteria	≤ 2%	_	≤ 15%	_	≤ 15%

 Table 11
 Results for repeatability for TGF-001, DIA and DIN

#### 4. **Experimental**

# 4.1. Equipment

•

- HPLC System: Agilent 1260 Infinity II system ٠
  - Open Lab CDS-control and integration software or equivalent Column:
    - Waters X-Bridge C18, 150\*4.6mm, 3.5µm PN: 186003034
- Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min
- Gradient mode Elution:
- Equilibrate time: 10.0 min •
- Run time: 40.0 min
- Detection: 278nm
- Injection: •
- 35°C ± 2°C Column temp.: •
- Auto sampler temp.: Room temperature ٠
- Mobile phase: •
  - o A: 0.1% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in Water
  - B: Acetonitrile 0
  - Diluent: 0.1% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in Water/Acetonitrile (80/20 v/v)
- Needle wash: Water/ Acetonitrile (50:50 v/v)

10 µL

Gradient: •

#### Table 12 Gradient Table

Time (min)	% <b>A</b>	% B
0.0	98	2
3.0	98	2
5.0	92	8
25.0	85	15
32.0	15	85
37.0	15	85
37.1	98	2
40.0	98	2

#### 4.2. **Equipment and reagents**

- Balance: •
- Mettler Toledo XP56
  - Acetonitrile: HPLC grade, Merck LiChrosolv, batch K51142730911
- Water: HPLC grade, from Milipore ultra-pure water system ٠
- Phosphoric acid: HPLC grade, Sigmer-Aldrich, batch STBK2887. •
- Glassware: 10, 20, 50 mL volumetric flasks, 1L graduated cylinders •
- Pipette: 0.2, 1.0 mL, 5.0 mL Pipette •

#### 4.3. Solutions

•

# 4.3.1. Diluent

0.1% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in Water/Acetonitrile (80/20 v/v):

Combine 800 mL of water and 200 mL of acetonitrile into a suitable container, and then add 1 mL of Phosphoric acid. Mix well.

# 4.3.2. Mobile phase

Preparation is described for a volume of 1 liter. Different volumes can be prepared as soon as the solvent ratio is the same.

# Mobile phase A (0.1% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in Water):

In a suitable container, add 1000 mL of water and 1 mL of Phosphoric acid. Mix well.

# Mobile phase B (Acetonitrile):

Acetonitrile.

# 4.3.3. Standrad Solutions

# Autosampler stability

Accurately weigh approx. 6 mg of TGF-001 reference standard into a 20-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well.

# Specificity/ selectivity and Repeatability

Accurately weigh approx. 6 mg of TGF-001 reference standard into a 20-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well.

Accurately weigh approx. 6 mg of DIA reference standard into a 20-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well. Transfer 7µL of this solution into above 5mL of TGF-001 solution. Mix well.

Accurately weigh approx. 6 mg of DIN reference standard into a 20-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well. Transfer 7µL of this solution into above 5mL of TGF-001 solution. Mix well.

# > LOD, LOQ and Linearity

# TGF-001 solutions:

# Stock Standard Solution (conc.: 0.6 mg/mL, 200%):

Accurately weigh approx. 12 mg of TGF-001 reference standard into a 20-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well.

# 140% standard Solution:

Transfer 7 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

# 120% standard Solution:

Transfer 6 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

# 100% standard Solution (conc.: 0.3 mg/mL):

Transfer 5 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

```
PHT Analytics
```

#### 80% standard Solution:

Transfer 4 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 50% standard Solution:

Transfer 2.5 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 20% standard Solution:

Transfer 1 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 5% standard Solution:

Transfer 2.5 mL 20% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.5% standard Solution:

Transfer 1 mL 5% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.1% standard Solution:

Transfer 1 mL 5% standard solution into a 50 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

# 0.05% standard Solution (LOQ solution):

Transfer 0.5 mL 5% standard solution into a 50 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

# 0.03% standard Solution (LOD solution):

Transfer 0.3 mL 5% standard solution into a 50 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### **DIA solutions:**

# Stock Standard Solution (conc.: 0.16 mg/mL, 100%):

Accurately weigh approx. 8 mg of TGF-001 reference standard into a 50-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well.

#### 0.5% standard Solution:

Transfer 0.5 mL stock standard solution into a 100 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.3% standard Solution:

Transfer 6 mL 0.5% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

PHT Analytics

#### 0.17% standard Solution:

Transfer 3.4 mL 0.5% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.14% standard Solution:

Transfer 2.8 mL 0.5% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.1% standard Solution:

Transfer 2 mL 5% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.08% standard Solution:

Transfer 1.6 mL 5% standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.05% standard Solution (LOQ solution):

Transfer 0.5 mL 5% standard solution into a 50 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 0.03% standard Solution (LOD solution):

Transfer 0.3 mL 5% standard solution into a 50 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### **DIN solutions:**

Same as DIA solutions.

#### > Accuracy

# Stock Standard Solution (conc.: 0.6 mg/mL, 200%):

Accurately weigh approx. 12 mg of TGF-001 reference standard into a 20-mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent. Mix well.

#### 120% standard Solution:

Transfer 6 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 100% standard Solution (conc.: 0.3 mg/mL):

Transfer 5 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

#### 80% standard Solution:

Transfer 4 mL stock standard solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

Prepare in triplicate.